

REQUEST LETTER TO VARIOUS ELDERS IN THE FPCS

October 10, 2018

Dear _____:

The Synod of the Free Presbyterian Church of Scotland issued an official declaration on South African apartheid (please see enclosed article) in response to the South African government's withdrawal of the nation from the British Commonwealth in May 1961. I would request that the Synod update its declaration in order to address the desperate plight that Afrikaners now find themselves. Much has happened in the world in general and southern Africa in particular since 1961. Some of the notable events since then relating to this topic include:

1. The USA and the United Kingdom pressured the white Rhodesian government to give up its rule and turn over all Rhodesian territory to the black majority of the nation, who in turn predictably elected wicked Roman Catholic Marxist leader Robert Mugabe.
2. Within one decade of taking power Robert Mugabe (of the majority Shona tribe) authorized the genocide of the Ndebele people (the minority black tribe in Zimbabwe).
3. Within two decades of taking power Robert Mugabe forced the expulsion of white farmers and turned a blind eye as they were slaughtered in the process. From being the "bread basket of Africa", Zimbabwe has descended into a veritable economic basket case, leading many Zimbabweans to flee due to the awful economic conditions.
4. The Roman Catholic bishops of Zimbabwe have recently issued this declaration regarding Robert Mugabe: "We thank the former President for the good work he did for Zimbabwe during the liberation struggle and as President for 37 years. We forgive him for any shortcomings during his long tenure of office." (see <http://www.catholicherald.co.uk/news/2017/11/27/zimbabwe-bishops-forgive-robert-mugabe/>)

5. Africans from across Africa immigrated into both apartheid and post-apartheid South Africa, altering the demographic composition of the nation, due to the prosperity of South Africa relative to its African neighbors.
6. The USA and the United Kingdom pressured the white South African government to give up its rule and turn over all South African territory to the black majority of the nation based upon the principle of “one man, one vote”, who in turn predictably elected closet Marxist leader Nelson Mandela and even more wicked leaders who have followed him.
7. Post-apartheid South Africa has adopted a constitution thoroughly humanistic and wicked, overturning the previous constitution which was more Christian in character.
8. South Africa has passed "affirmative action" employment laws that officially discriminate against white people.
9. South Africa's black ruling party (the ANC) has defended the singing of "kill the Boer" [referring to white South African farmers] (see <https://www.reuters.com/article/ozatp-safrica-racism-20100330-idAFJOE62ToIM20100330>), including by former South African president Jacob Zuma. Not surprisingly, this has been accompanied by a high murder rate of Afrikaner Boers in often brutal attacks upon them.
10. Influential black politician Julius Malema, who has successfully gotten the ruling ANC on board with his proposal to expropriate white-owned property in South Africa without compensation, has said he wasn't “calling for the slaughtering of white people,” then added, “at least for now.” He has joyfully sung the "kill the Boer" song. He has told his followers that “we are cutting the throat of whiteness.”
11. The current South African president and his party have indicated their intention to change the South African constitution to allow expropriation of white owned land without compensation (see <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-safrica-politics-land/south-africas-anc-to-amend-constitution-to-allow-land-expropriation-idUSKBN1KL2VN>). This alone constitutes theft of white property, because it has the immediate effect of significantly decreasing the value of white owned property and discouraging lending to white farmers.
12. The liberal mainstream in the USA (represented by such news outlets as the New York Times, CNN, etc.) decry as "racist" Americans who express concerns over what is happening in South Africa regarding property expropriation and white farmer murders. This means they do not have any significant disagreement with

what the black ruled South African government is doing. Logically, this means that once nations like the USA and Canada no longer have white majorities, we should expect the liberal mainstream to be perfectly comfortable with the expropriation of white owned property in North America on much the same grounds as are being argued for expropriation in South Africa.

13. There has been a widespread effort on the part of Western political and academic leadership to assert that ethnicity/race along with gender are essentially fluid social constructs, although there have been voices of dissent in the political and academic community. This has been the philosophical basis for creating the modern “rainbow nation” in South Africa and more “open borders”, along with feminist legislation, in South Africa and in the West in general. Many Christian church leaders have agreed and argued its cause on the basis of their interpretation of passages like Galatians 3:28, while ignoring other parts of the Bible germane to the topic like Genesis 9:22-27 and I Timothy 2:12.

Some questions I would request be answered in an updated declaration are these:

1. Do passages like Galatians 3:28 really call for the elimination of all ethnic/racial and gender distinctions, in the light of other scriptural passages which bear on the topic? What does it teach in light of other scriptural passages on the topic of ethnic nationalism?
2. Is not the fact that most Jews (i.e., descendants of patriarch Jacob) will be hardened until the fullness of Gentiles comes in, and then lead in Reformation after their conversion, as taught in the Westminster Larger Catechism, an example of ethnic distinction and unequal blessing by God of different people groups?
3. The original declaration spoke of the propriety of nationalist aspirations among the peoples of Africa (i.e., peoples descended from Ham). And there has been indication of the propriety of the homeland nation of the Jews (i.e., Israel, for a people descended from Shem). Is there not also a propriety of nationalist aspirations for peoples descended from Japheth (such as the Afrikaners), and hence a propriety in reasonable and legal means to put such nationalist aspirations into effect?
4. Is there not evidence in both scripture and historical experience that God blesses different peoples differently (even as He blesses individuals within a people group differently), and that in general the peoples descended from Ham have

known less blessing, which in turn leads many of them to want to immigrate to nations of peoples descended from Japheth or Shem? Does this not then require peoples enjoying relatively more blessing to take active measures to prevent such immigration in order to maintain nations where they are the permanent ruling majority?

5. Has there not been something of a double standard when it came to Rhodesia and apartheid South Africa versus the USA, the UK and Israel, on the specific topic of voting? (There were a number of things to condemn about apartheid South Africa, but I am specifically addressing the topic of voting here.) It is true that Rhodesia and apartheid South Africa denied the vote for representatives in their ruling parliament to many over which the ruling parliament exercised significant political authority, but is it not also the case that the USA, the UK and Israel also deny the vote for representatives in their ruling parliament to many over which the parliament exercises significant political authority, specifically in the territories which they control? Is this not sometimes practically necessary for a stronger nation to do with certain weaker territorial nations, especially in the vicinity, because if it were not done hostile powerful nations would fill the void in such territories? For example, would Iran not move in its forces to the occupied Palestinian territories of Israel if Israel were to abandon significant control over them, which would then lead to a dangerous situation for Israel? Similarly, if apartheid South Africa had abandoned all control over the black homeland nation territories within and around it, would not the Soviet Union and other hostile entities have taken advantage of the situation? On the other hand, if the vote for representatives in the stronger nation's parliament were extended to the people in these territories, would it not inevitably lead to the demise of the stronger nation? For example, what would happen to Israel if "one man, one vote" were extended to all people in Israel and the Palestinian territories it significantly controls? Would it be right to place a moral burden on the Jews of Israel to extend "one man, one vote" to such, and is it right to place such a moral burden on the Afrikaners of South Africa? Did God place such a moral burden on Old Testament Israel?
6. Is there not especial propriety in allowing those people groups experiencing persecution (whether they be Jewish or Afrikaner) to be allowed to have their own separate nation where they can be the permanent ruling majority, as a protection against such persecution?

7. Have Satan and the Pope been employing an “open borders” and “rainbow nation” agenda to undermine historically Protestant nations of peoples descended from Japheth (such as the Afrikaners are)?
8. If the historically Protestant nations of peoples descended from Japheth are brought down, is it not likely that Satan and the Vatican’s ultimate target for conquest is Israel, justifying such by arguing that “Israel’s apartheid system” must be removed?

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

J. Parnell McCarter